2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	In re
4	02 Civ. 4124 (TPG) THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA
5	
	X
6	New York, N.Y. March 5, 2004
7	10:50 a.m.
•	HON. THOMAS P. GRIESA
8	
	District Judge
9	•
10	APPEARANCES
11	DREIER LLP
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
12	499 Park Avenue
	New York, New York 10022
13	(212) 328-6100
•	Y: MARK S. DREIER, ESQ.
14	IOEL A CHEDNOM PRO
- •	JOEL A. CHERNOV, ESQ.
15 C	HIII I FRMO A CLEIZED DOG
Af	GUILLERMO A. GLEIZER, ESQ. torney for Plaintiffs
16	130 Water Charles G. S. Arr
- 0	130 Water Street, Suite 4K
17	New York, New York 10005 (212) 683-0233
	(212) 003-0233
18 C	I FARY COTTI TED GTTTLE A
Att	LEARY, GOTTLIEB, STEEN & HAMILTON corneys for Defendant
19	One Liberty DI
	One Liberty Plaza
20	New York, New York 10006
	(212) 225-3999
21	: CARMINE D. BOCCUZZI, JR., ESQ.
	JONATHAN I. BLACKMAN, ESQ.
22	MARIA McFARLAND, ESQ.
-	WESLEY H. KELMAN, ESQ.
23 BC	DLATTI & GRIFFITH
	emeys for Correo Arganting
4	rneys for Correo Argentino, S.A., and Trustee

New York, New York 10005 25 (212) 363-3780 BY: SYLVIA L. BOLATTI, ESQ.

- (Case called)
- THE COURT: I would like to talk about some broad
- 3 scheduling matters. The one principal privilege I exercise as
- 4 a senior judge is I take an extra month of vacation in the
- 5 summer, so I am gone June and July. This invariably works out.
- 6 Lawyers in cases know that and reschedule around that.
- 7 I think in this case we have to think about what is
- 8 coming up and work around that. If there are things that
- 9 cannot avoid coming up in that time period, we have ways to
- 10 handle that. We have Part I judges to take care of emergency
- 11 matters. We have undoubtedly a magistrate judge who is
- 12 available who will be called in on this case or in these cases.
- I don't need to have any further discussion of that
- 14 now. Although June seems a long way away in one sense, it is
- 15 like tomorrow in another sense. That is the way time goes. So
- 16 let's just leave that subject for now. Maybe we can discuss it
- 17 as time goes on.
- We have several cases that need attention today, and
- 19 there are certain cases where motions for summary judgment are
- 20 pending. There are issues about standing and issues about
- 21 ownership. I would like to discuss the standing issue and hear
- 22 what anybody would like to offer as far as argument in addition

- 23 to what is in the papers. The floor is open.
- 24 MR. BOCCUZZI: Your Honor, Carmine Boccuzzi for the
- 25 Republic of Argentina. I think the problems are pretty much
- 1 laid out in the papers. I would just draw your Honor's
- attention to the language that we are relying on in the FAA,
- which is on page 7. 3
- 4 What we are talking about here is a global security.
- These lawsuits are based on the fiscal agency agreement, and
- that is the document that is claimed to have been breached. If
- your Honor applies the recently decided New York state cases,
- 8 the MacKay Shields case and the Oak Tree cases, those make very
- 9 clear that in this type of situation, where you have a
- 10 registered holder designated in the relevant agreement or
- contract as the sole owner, then that registered holder is the
- 12 party with standing to pursue any claim, including claims based
- 13 on failure to make payments due under the contract.
- 14 That is really the issue. It is a simple straight-
- forward application of the contractual language and there is
- New York precedent, including by the First Department in New
- York. That is what the basis of the motion is.
- 18 Plaintiffs seem to rely primarily on some prefatory
- language that is found in the FAA on page 7 under the language
- that says the registered holder is the sole owner for all 20
- purposes under the agreement. They argue that because there is

- a statement about the republic understanding that a depository
- might authorize someone to do something, that the depository is
- otherwise the sole party who can take the action, that means
- they have standing to sue. 25

- 1 This language, of course, does not purport to undercut
- the rest of the documentary language that we rely on.
- Moreover, none of these plaintiffs, your Honor, even purport to
- have an authorization for the depository. So the situation is
- that language doesn't help the plaintiffs.
- 6 The other expects of these two cases, we raise the
- Etevob and the Franceschi case, which are cases that involve
- each having about 28 to 30 plaintiffs. As you have seen in our
- papers that oppose plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment,
- many of them failed to make a prima facie showing that
- 11 sufficient to get summary judgment that they are even
- 12 beneficial owners of the interests in the bonds that they claim
- 13 to own.
- 14 So the standing provision which focuses enforcement in
- the registered holder, which, as the registered holder, has the
- global security and sits on top of the system of book entries
- that goes through the participant, through the participants'
- participants, and then downward ultimately to the beneficial 18
- owner, that is the best entity to be involved in this process. 19
- 20 As I said, your Honor, none of these folks, who

- 21 otherwise, as you see from the papers, have really failed to
- establish the beneficial ownership, none of them has even
- purported to get the authorization of this registered holder.
- That is the basis of the motion.
- 25 There is a similar motion made in the case involving
- 1 Mr. Applestein in the Province case. Again it is also relying
- 2 on the MacKay Shields and Oak Tree cases.
- THE COURT: Who are the depositories? What do they
- do?
- 5 MR. BOCCUZZI: The depository is an entity like
- 6 Depository Trust Corporation, DTCC, and they are an entity that
- 7 holds the global security. They are identified in the
- documents as holding the global security. They are the entity
- that in turn has participants --
- 10 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Let's take the United
- States. What company is it?
- 12 MR. BOCCUZZI: The Depository Trust Company, your
- 13 Honor, DTC.
- 14 THE COURT: What do they do?
- 15 MR. BOCCUZZI: They are a corporation that is involved
- in -- they were established in the seventies, and they are
- there to operate and be involved in overseeing the system that
- has registered securities of this type. 18
- 19 THE COURT: That is a little vague.

- 20 MR. BOCCUZZI: They have participants, your Honor.
- 21 Participants are financial institutions.
- 22 THE COURT: So you have the Depository Trust Company.
- 23 MR. BOCCUZZI: Right.
- 24 THE COURT: Let's say the republic issues a bond, it
- 25 issues it to the Depository Trust Company.

- 1 MR. BOCCUZZI: They issue a global security, yes, and
- 2 the Depository Trust Company is the registered holder of that
- 3 global security.
- THE COURT: What is meant by a global security?
- 5 MR. BOCCUZZI: It is opposed to something like a
- 6 bearer bond. The global security is a security -
- 7 THE COURT: Is it a bond?
- 8 MR. BOCCUZZI: Yes. It is an obligation of the
- 9 republic.
- THE COURT: Is it a bond?
- MR. BOCCUZZI: Yes, it is a bond.
- THE COURT: It is a bond?
- 13 MR. BOCCUZZI: Right.
- 14 THE COURT: So the Republic of Argentina issues a
- 15 bond. You are saying it issues the bond to the -
- MR. BOCCUZZI: The registered holder.
- 17 THE COURT: The Depository -
- 18 MR. BOCCUZZI: Trust Company.

- 19 THE COURT: Trust Company. Why does it issue it to
- 20 the Depository Trust Company? The Depository Trust Company is
- 21 not paying for the bond, right?
- 22 MR. BOCCUZZI: Right.
- 23 THE COURT: So how does the Depository Trust Company
- 24 know to get a bond issued to it?
- MR. BOCCUZZI: Pursuant to the fiscal agency agreement 7
- 1 between the republic and the fiscal agent, that talks about
- 2 what the bonds or series of bonds are going to be. The
- 3 Depository Trust Company, its job is to hold the global
- 4 security.
- 5 THE COURT: I asked you a specific question. Some
- 6 individual or company decides to buy some Argentine bonds. How
- 7 do they buy the bonds?
- 8 MR. BOCCUZZI: They could call their broker.
- 9 THE COURT: They call their broker.
- MR. BOCCUZZI: Right.
- THE COURT: Their broker calls who?
- MR. BOCCUZZI: Their broker would go into the market
- 13 and find a seller of the bonds and purchase the bond.
- THE COURT: Who is the seller going to be?
- MR. BOCCUZZI: It could be anyone, your Honor. These
- 16 bonds trade in the secondary market.
- THE COURT: So somebody else who is owner of bond,

- 18 right?
- 19 MR. BOCCUZZI: Right.
- 20 THE COURT: Then the person wants to buy or become the
- 21 owner of these bonds, right?
- 22 MR. BOCCUZZI: Correct.
- 23 THE COURT: The Depository Trust Company is not in the
- 24 market, is it?
- MR. BOCCUZZI: Not that I know of, your Honor.
- 1 THE COURT: Of course not.
- 2 MR. BOCCUZZI: But it is sitting on top of the market
- 3 in terms of when that sale happened.
- 4 THE COURT: Sitting on top doesn't mean anything. The
- 5 Depository Trust Company is not in the market selling or
- 6 buying, right?
- 7 MR. BOCCUZZI: Correct.
- 8 THE COURT: So somebody sells some bonds. These are
- 9 registered bonds and not bearer bonds?
- 10 MR. BOCCUZZI: Correct.
- 11 THE COURT: Now, these bonds are registered in whose
- 12 name? The Depository Trust Company's name?
- MR. BOCCUZZI: Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: Obviously, the seller and the buyer, they
- 15 employ their brokers and the brokers are handling it. What do
- 16 the brokers do? What does the broker for the seller do after

- 17 the sale? Does the broker notify the Depository Trust Company
- 18 or what?
- 19 MR. BOCCUZZI: It depends. If the broker itself is a
- 20 participant and "participant" is the term of art for people
- 21 who are participants in Depository Trust Company -- then that
- 22 participant, the next link in the chain would be the Depository
- 23 Trust Company. So that book entry would be notified to the
- 24 Depository Trust Company.
- THE COURT: What do you mean a book entry would be
- 1 notified?
- 2 MR. BOCCUZZI: The fact that there has been a transfer
- 3 of beneficial interest would have to be informed to the
- 4 Depository Trust Company. It is just a series of book entries,
- 5 your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: I understand. In other words, certain
- 7 brokers are participants.
- 8 MR. BOCCUZZI: Yes.
- 9 THE COURT: They are listed with the Depository Trust
- 10 Company.
- MR. BOCCUZZI: Correct.
- 12 THE COURT: What is the role of a participant?
- MR. BOCCUZZI: They are just people, financial
- 14 institutions, who are in the market trading in these bonds or
- 15 themselves have customers, broker-dealers, who themselves are

- 16 trading in the bonds. The system is just meant to
- 17 facilitate -
- 18 THE COURT: Wait a minute. They are registered or
- 19 listed with the Depository Trust Company as brokers who are
- 20 trading in the bonds, right?
- 21 MR. BOCCUZZI: Correct.
- 22 THE COURT: Do they trade in their own name or do they
- 23 represent other people?
- MR. BOCCUZZI: They would be trading in their own
- 25 name.

- 1 THE COURT: So they trade in their own name.
- 2 MR. BOCCUZZI: And they have their own books that
- 3 would trace to their customers for whom they are trading.
- 4 THE COURT: You said they trade in their own name.
- 5 Now, you mention customers. I suppose they do both.
- 6 MR. BOCCUZZI: Right. For example, there is a broker-
- 7 dealer and he may have customers. So he may be trading in his
- 8 own name. But the position that is reflected, for example,
- 9 with the DTC would be in the name of the participant. Some of
- 10 it may be for its own account and some of it may be
- 11 beneficially owned by the next -
- THE COURT: So the Depository Trust Company doesn't
- 13 even list the beneficial owners at all, does it?
- MR. BOCCUZZI: That is by and large correct.

- 15 THE COURT: They just list participants?
- 16 MR. BOCCUZZI: Correct.
- 17 THE COURT: And it is the participants who actually
- 18 list the beneficial owners?
- MR. BOCCUZZI: They may or they themselves may have
- 20 another layer between themselves and the beneficial owners.
- 21 THE COURT: What layer would that be?
- MR. BOCCUZZI: For example, it could be a participant,
- 23 which is Lehman Brothers, and then he could have someone, a
- 24 broker-dealer, under them. And that broker-dealer may be
- 25 trading for the ultimate beneficial owner. So there just could
- 1 be different layers, your Honor, in terms of what is between
- 2 the beneficial interest owner and the registered holder, the
- 3 Depository Trust Company.
- 4 THE COURT: So you have conceivably these layers: The
- 5 Depository Trust Company, the participants, other brokers who
- 6 are dealing with the participants, and the beneficial owners.
- 7 Conceivably those layers; it could be a little less. Anyway,
- 8 that is what could happen.
- 9 Now, if an interest payment is made, who does the
- 10 republic make it to?
- 11 MR. BOCCUZZI: The fiscal agent, Bankers Trust under
- 12 the fiscal agency agreement. That agent pays the depository.
- 13 THE COURT: So there is a fiscal agent of the bank,

- 14 and the bank pays the Depository Trust Company, and then it
- 15 goes on through the chain?
- 16 MR. BOCCUZZI: Exactly.
- 17 THE COURT: And gets to the beneficial owner.
- 18 MR. BOCCUZZI: Yes.
- 19 THE COURT: What is the language that prevents the
- 20 beneficial owner from suing?
- 21 MR. BOCCUZZI: If you look, your Honor, on page 7 of
- 22 the fiscal agency agreement, that first full paragraph that
- 23 begins with the language "So long as the depository for global
- 24 security or its nominee is the registered owner of such global
- 25 security, such depository or such nominee, as the case may be, 12
- 1 will be considered the sole owner or holder of the securities
- 2 represented by such global security for all purposes under this
- 3 agreement."
- 4 It goes on to state that beneficial owners are not
- 5 entitled to having a definitive note in their name and will not
- 6 be considered the owners or holders thereof under such
- 7 securities or this agreement.
- Then, the next sentence says, "Accordingly, each
- 9 person owning a beneficial interest in a global security must
- 10 rely on the procedures of the depository for such global
- 11 security and, if such person is not a participant, on the
- 12 procedures of the participant through which such person owns

- 13 its interest to exercise any rights of a holder under the
- 14 securities or this agreement."
- 15 The next sentence is the language that plaintiffs have
- 16 relied on to rebut what precedes it.
- 17 THE COURT: Certainly the governing instruments
- 18 contemplate the possibility of legal action. That is why there
- 19 is a waiver of sovereign immunity. I think there is a choice
- 20 of law, there is an agreement to jurisdiction, all the things
- 21 that we covered in the earlier summary judgment motions.
- 22 It would be impossible to create the instruments here
- 23 without providing for the possibility of legal action. Who can
- 24 take the legal action?
- MR. BOCCUZZI: All the rights in terms of the fiscal
- 1 agency, your Honor, are couched in terms of the holder, and the
- 2 holder is the registered holder, who is considered the sole
- 3 registered holder under the contract.
- 4 THE COURT: Is the Depository Trust Company filing any
- 5 lawsuits?
- 6 MR. BOCCUZZI: We have not heard from the
- 7 depository --
- 8 THE COURT: Is the Depository Trust Company
- 9 participating in any conferences about a debt workout?
- MR. BOCCUZZI: I am not aware of, that your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: No, of course, not. The Depository Trust

- 12 Company makes a little dab of money by performing ministerial
- functions. They have no interest that would possibly cause
- them to go to a meeting about a debt workout or even consider
- the subject. They would have no interest in filing a lawsuit.
- 16 what you are talking about is giving them the sole
- right of action, and that will never happen. They will never
- sue. They will never sue. Never heard of it.
- 19 What is really important is the last clause, the last
- sentence, beginning "The republic understands." That is the 20
- 21 important part of that whole paragraph for our purposes. What
- 22 it does say is that the republic understands that the
- depositories and the participants will allow the beneficial
- 24 owners to sue. That is the important part of this paragraph.
- 25 MR. BOCCUZZI: Your Honor, that has not happened here.
- 1 There has been no showing that there has been any
- 2 authorization. This is relevant. I understand the Depository
- Trust Company is not the one --
- THE COURT: I am sure that that can be accomplished.
- If the Court called the Depository Trust Company into court, I
- am sure they would be quite upset that they had to pay a lawyer
- to come to court, but they would have to. And I think on the
- spot we would get as many authorizations as necessary. It
- would just be done. They have no interest in doing it or
- 10 blocking it.

- 11 MR. BOCCUZZI: Your Honor, the language that you cite
- 12 to refers to the participants holding the relevant interest to
- 13 take such action. In other words, the Depository Trust Company
- 14 does have an interest in making sure that there is an accurate
- 15 bookkeeping up and down the chain of who actually is the
- 16 beneficial owner.
- 17 THE COURT: They have no interest in engaging in
- 18 expensive litigation, and they won't ever do it. You know that
- 19 perfectly well. Have you ever talked to them about the
- 20 subject?
- 21 MR. BOCCUZZI: Your Honor, I have not.
- 22 THE COURT: No, of course not. You know what they
- 23 would say if Cleary Gottlieb called up and said, look, we
- 24 represent the Republic of Argentina and we are going go into
- 25 court and say that you are the only people that can file suit,
- 1 what can we really represent to the court about what you will
- 2 or will not do, we would like to file an affidavit providing
- 3 the court with information of a practical nature, and would you
- 4 like to give us an affidavit saying what your intention is
- 5 about suing on all these defaults?
- 6 Did you contact them and try to obtain such an
- 7 affidavit so you could inform the court of what in practical
- 8 fact would happen with the depository? Did you do that?
- 9 MR. BOCCUZZI: No, your Honor.

- 10 THE COURT: Of course you didn't, because you knew
- 11 what you would find out.

Ü

- MR. BOCCUZZI: If I may, your Honor. In terms of the
- 13 Province case, Mr. Applestein contacted through his participant
- 14 the DTCC. So what happens here is there is a verification
- 15 function that DTC plays, and this is relevant. If looked at -
- 16 THE COURT: That is another issue.
- MR. BOCCUZZI: The issue is there is a letter from
- 18 DTCC to the participant and from the participant to Mr.
- 19 Applestein, so there is a role. That is authorizing the suit,
- 20 which at the very least is what this language references.
- 21 THE COURT: Maybe what we need to do is to have a
- 22 procedure whereby Cleary Gottlieb you are the one raising
- 23 the point. It seems to me the burden should be on you to
- 24 arrange the details.
- 25 MR. BOCCUZZI: Your Honor, the point is proof of 16
- 1 beneficial ownership. That is the plaintiff's burden. The
- 2 plaintiffs have to show. They are stating here, your Honor, we
- 3 want a judgment for X million dollars.
- 4 THE COURT: Maybe it shouldn't be placed on Cleary
- 5 Gottlieb. Maybe I will just issue a court order having them
- 6 come in. Do you suppose they could come in in a half an hour?
- 7 Somebody could come in.
- 8 MR. BOCCUZZI: In terms of coming in in a half hour, I

- 9 am just not sure what you would want them to --
- THE COURT: Where are they located? Are they down on
- 11 Wall Street?
- MR. BOCCUZZI: They are in New York, yes, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Where?
- MR. BLACKMAN: They are down on Water Street.
- 15 THE COURT: That isn't very far. We could adjourn
- 16 until they are here. I am sure they would sign any one you
- 17 want them to sign. Do you want to go through that?
- 18 MR. BOCCUZZI: No, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Of course not. We are not going to do a
- 20 lot of foolishness. We are going to get down to practical
- 21 fact. The fact is that these beneficial owners are entitled to
- 22 sue. If there are some formalities that have to be carried
- 23 out, they can be easily carried out, and that's that. That
- 24 solves the issue of standing, and that is all there is to it.
- 25 That is the end of it.

- 1 MR. BOCCUZZI: Yes, your Honor.
- 2 THE COURT: If you want to insist on formalities, we
- 3 will have all the formalities, and the question will be who
- 4 will pay the cost of getting those formalities accomplished.
- 5 Anyway, we won't worry about that now. We take care of the
- 6 formalities and that can be agreed upon and that is that.
- 7 Next question: Ownership.

- 8 MR. BOCCUZZI: Your Honor, we have raised an issue as
- 9 to the showing on summary judgment that these folks have done.
- 10 They started with a lawyer's affidavit, which is proof of
- 11 nothing. Since then, there have been a variety of affidavits.
- 12 There are discrepancies in terms, for example, of the
- 13 amount pleaded and the amount that is shown on the brokerage
- 14 statement that was submitted. It just doesn't tie together in
- 15 many places.
- 16 The burden has been on us, and I think we have met the
- 17 burden in terms of summary judgment to point out the
- 18 discrepancies in the failures of proof. That is really the
- 19 issue.

U

- 20 THE COURT: The issue of ownership is a real issue,
- 21 not something that can be passed over lightly. Let's take it
- 22 case by case. I know on Kalbermann I denied the motion for
- 23 summary judgment because there was an issue of ownership. So
- 24 that is where we need to spend some time.
- MR. CHERNOV: Can I be heard, your Honor, on Mr. 18
- 1 Kalbermann?
- THE COURT: Yes. You represent?
- 3 MR. CHERNOV: Your Honor, my name is Joel Chernov. I
- 4 am with the law firm of Dreier LLP, and we represent Mr.
- 5 Kalbermann.
- 6 THE COURT: All right.

- MR. CHERNOV: Your Honor surely did deny Mr.
- 8 Kalbermann's first motion, finding that the evidence was
- 9 insufficient. Your Honor, with all due respect, we believe
- 10 there was sufficient evidence before the Court, and we made a
- 11 motion for reconsideration or reargument and submitted a new
- 12 declaration that hopefully clarified the original evidence and
- 13 supplemented that evidence.
- 14 If I can direct the Court's attention to that
- 15 evidence, I believe you will see that it is plenty clear that
- 16 Mr. Kalbermann does own the bonds he claims to own. First, I
- 47 don't know if your Honor has before you Mr. Kalbermann's
- 18 accounts.
- THE COURT: Mr. Boccuzzi, he has a good point in that
- 20 on the issue of ownership one satisfactory way to deal with
- 21 that would be to go through the process which is outlined on
- 22 page 7 of the fiscal agency agreement. I was trying to dispose
- 23 of the standing issue quickly, which I think ought to be done.
- 24 But there are requirements of these instruments, and I am
- 25 certainly not ready to say the instruments don't have to be
- 1 complied with. I think compliance could be easy and it should
- 2 be easy. And it should be done with a minimum of expense.
- 3 Is there not a requirement to go through the
- 4 procedures talked about in the fiscal agency agreement? Your
- 5 client is suing --

- 6 MR. CHERNOV: Mr. Kalbermann is suing the republic.
- 7 THE COURT: What about those procedures? The thing
- 8 that does strike me is Mr. Boccuzzi's idea that if those
- 9 procedures are complied with, then that is a very sure way of
- 10 resolving the issue of ownership. If they have to be complied
- Il with, why not do it and then not fight about whether one
- 12 particular piece of evidence is OK or not?
- 13 MR. CHERNOV: Your Honor, I don't believe complying
- 14 with this proceeding would actually solve the problem.
- 15 THE COURT: Let's go back. Don't you have to comply
- 16 with the procedures?
- MR. CHERNOV: Your Honor, we submit that you are
- 18 exactly correct, that the paragraph you pointed to means that
- 19 people do not need to go get authorization. It means that
- 20 everyone understood the way the industry works is that you
- 21 don't need to go get authorizations.
- THE COURT: I read it another way. Am I wrong?
- 23 MR. CHERNOV: I read it, your Honor, that the republic
- 24 understands that under existing industry practice, if we went
- 25 out and asked for the authorization, we would be given it or 20
- 1 DTC would give it to Lehman Brothers, for example, and then
- 2 Lehman Brothers would give it to the beneficial holder. But it
- 3 is understood. It is not something that has to be done. DTC,
- 4 as you said, has no interest in having everyone call them up

- 5 and go through this process.
- 6 THE COURT: Let's read the sentence, could we?
- 7 MR. CHERNOV: Surely.
- 8 THE COURT: "The republic understands that under
- 9 existing industry practice, if the republic requests any action
- 10 of holders "— that language is irrelevant.
- 11 MR. CHERNOV: Correct.
- 12 THE COURT: Let's start again. "The republic
- 13 understands that under existing industry practices, if an owner
- 14 of a beneficial interest in such global security desires to
- 15 take any action which a holder is entitled to take under the
- 16 fiscal agency agreement, the depository for such global
- 17 security would authorize the participants holding the relevant
- 18 interests to take such actions, and the participants would
- 19 authorize beneficial owners, owning through such participants,
- 20 to take such action or would otherwise act upon the
- 21 instructions of beneficial owners holding through them."
- Mr. Boccuzzi is certainly correct that the earlier
- 23 part of the paragraph talks about the depository being the sole
- 24 owner and being the sole party who can take action, and so
- 25 forth, does it not?

- MR. CHERNOV: It does, your Honor. But if you read
- 2 the entire agreement, you will see that they repeatedly
- 3 throughout the agreement reference the registered holder. When

- 4 they wanted to talk about the registered holder, they talked
- 5 about the register holder. They talked about that repeatedly.
- 6 In the jurisdiction paragraph, though, notably they don't use
- 7 the word "registered holder," they only use the word "holder."
- They also talk in the agreement about aggregating the
- 9 interests of the holdings, that 25 percent of the holders can
- 10 accelerating rate and that acceleration can overridden by other
- 11 percentages of holders.
- 12 THE COURT: You are saying holders as used in two
- 13 senses.
- MR. CHERNOV: Exactly, your Honor. This
- 15 interpretation that the republic is now putting before the
- 16 Court doesn't square with what the document intended, and it is
- 17 the paragraph that you pointed to that shows the true intent.
- What also shows the true intent, your Honor, is that
- 19 we have now been before you for the better part of two years
- 20 and this argument was never raised. The only reason they are
- 21 raising it now is as a last straw to once again try to stop
- 22 these lawsuits.
- This paragraph clearly provides that holders,
- 24 beneficial owners, have a right to bring suits and they have
- 25 that right without going back each time to DTC and trying to
- . 1 get DTC to give authorizations. DTC says it is understood that
- 2 if someone went and asked, they would get it.

- 3 With respect to the proof problems, this really
- 4 doesn't address the proof problems. The proof problems is DTC
- 5 doesn't even know, your Honor, as you recognize, who holds
- 6 what, DTC has no knowledge. The person who has knowledge is
- 7 either the participant who sells to the beneficial owner --
- 8 THE COURT: The broker.
- 9 MR. CHERNOV: That's right, the broker. The broker
- 10 knows who has it. So if we get an authorization from DTC, that
- 11 is not going to help our proof problem. Our proof problem has
- 12 to be addressed by the broker.
- That is what we have done, your Honor. We have given
- 14 you the account statements from the broker, we have given you
- 15 letters from the brokers. The issues they are raising with
- 16 respect to the proof are things such as the names cut off at
- 17 the top.
- Now, they say the names cut off at the top. But did
- 19 they call me, your Honor, and say, can I have a new copy of
- 20 that piece of paper so I can see the top? Did they go to
- 21 court, where we had the originals submitted, so that they could
- 22 see the name at the top? No.
- Again, your Honor, they are making mountains out of
- 24 molehills. They are raising red herrings.
- The account statement shows the ownership. The
- 1 letters from the brokers show the ownership. Going back and

- 2 getting authorization from DTC, who has no idea what beneficial
- people have or don't have, is not going to help us address the
- ownership question.
- 5 THE COURT: I suppose Merrill Lynch is a participant.
- MR. CHERNOV: Excuse me? Merrill Lynch? 6
- THE COURT: You have submitted account statements from 7
- Merrill Lynch.
- 9 MR. CHERNOV: Yes. I don't know each of their
- participants, but I believe Merrill is a participant. 10
- 11 THE COURT: I guess your argument is that Depository
- Trust Company isn't going to know.
- 13 MR. CHERNOV: Correct.
- 14 THE COURT: Merrill Lynch knows, but what you are
- 15 basically saying is you have enough information from Merrill
- 16 Lynch in the account statements.
- 17 MR. CHERNOV: That is correct, your Honor, and those
- are the statements we have put before you. To the extent some
- 19 of those statements have issues, we can address those issues.
- 20 But I don't see why we should be going back to DTC to try to
- 21 help us resolve the issue.
- 22 THE COURT: How many bonds did Kalbermann own?
- 23 MR. CHERNOV: That is the subject of this lawsuit,
- 24 your Honor. He had five separate purchases, but they are three
- 25 series of bonds. He has \$840,000 worth of bonds that have an

- 1 interest rate of 12.375 percent, I believe. He has a \$90,000
- 2 bond which is at 11 or 11.5 percent, and then a 100,000 bond.
- That totals 1,030,000, which is exactly what he sued
- 4 for when he brought the action. He submitted his account
- 5 statement which shows that. He submitted a letter from his
- 6 broker which shows that. He submitted the confirmation slips
- 7 in the original bank statement that shows that.
- 8 When we first moved for summary judgment, the republic
- 9 didn't even contest that ownership. Now they do, saying that
- 10 one of the CUSIP numbers, your Honor, is wrong. Clearly, it
- 11 was a clerical error. It has the right maturity date, it has
- 12 the right interest rate.
- 13 THE COURT: Help me out. There are a lot of papers.
- 14 It is all in the papers. He owns three sets of bonds?
- MR. CHERNOV: Yes. If you look at Mr. Kalbermann's,
- 16 for example, his July declaration, Exhibit A to that
- 17 declaration.
- THE COURT: I have it. 90,000 in bonds. There is a
- 19 confirmation slip.
- 20 MR. CHERNOV: There is a confirmation slip that he
- 21 actually in his declaration submitted his confirmation slips
- 22 that tie in.
- 23 THE COURT: So he got a confirmation slip dated trade
- 24 date 2/14/2000, 90,000 bonds bought, right?
- 25 MR. CHERNOV: Yes.

- 1 THE COURT: Then you have 200,000 bonds bought, trade
- 2 date 4/23/2001, confirmation slip. Then 540,000 4/25/2001,
- 3 that is a confirmation slip. That is all Merrill Lynch.
- Was the other one the Prudential?
- 5 MR. CHERNOV: The Prudential, your Honor, which was a
- 6 purchase for 100,000 on 5/15.
- 7 Then, your Honor, if you go to the next exhibit, it is
- 8 not a confirmation slip but I believe it is Mr. Kalbermann's
- 9 original statement from Bank Leu, and that shows a purchase on
- 10 the second item, a purchase of a \$100,000 note with an 11
- 11 percent interest rate and a maturity date of I believe it is
- 12 October 9, 2006.
- That is evidenced also, your Honor, if you go to
- 14 Exhibit C to this declaration. Exhibit C to the declaration,
- 15 your Honor, has the letter from Mr. Kalbermann's broker, and
- 16 that is a November 26, 2003 letter. Here he totals those up,
- And you have the three series of bonds owned by Mr.
- 18 Kalbermann that again total 1,030,000: The 100,000 bond with
- 19 the rate of 11 percent, the 90,000 bond with the rate of 11.75
- 20 percent, and then the 840,000 in bonds with the rate of 12.375
- 21 percent.
- He has given the Court the confirmation slips, a
- 23 letter from his broker, his account statement. We submit, your
- 24 Honor, that that is sufficient evidence to prove his ownership.

- 25 MR. BOCCUZZI: Your Honor, if I might?
- 1 THE COURT: Yes.
- 2 MR. BOCCUZZI: Mr. Kalbermann did come back and re-go
- 3 over evidence that was before your Honor when your Honor denied
- 4 his motion for summary judgment because of discrepancies. We
- 5 acknowledge that he did clear up some of that. But there is,
- 6 for example, still an outstanding discrepancy where he is
- 7 claiming in his declaration at paragraph 4 to be holding a face
- 8 amount of \$100,000 in principal with a CUSIP number that does
- 9 not match the CUSIP number on the slip he submitted at Exhibit
- 10 A to his declaration. So at least as to that amount, there is
- 11 a remaining discrepancy.
- 12 THE COURT: What is that number?
- 13 MR. BOCCUZZI: If you look at his declaration, you
- 14 will see there is a CUSIP number there for 100,000 face amount,
- 15 040114GD6. And then if you go to Exhibit A, the third page,
- 16 which D527 is the Bates number, the CUSIP number there is a
- 17 different CUSIP number there on the bottom. It is 9949L9C.
- 18 And this is for \$100,000.
- So we don't have a complete match or corroboration
- 20 between the documentary evidence and what Mr. Kalbermann is
- 21 claiming. Of course, the reason why the republic opposed the
- 22 motion for reconsideration is because of the initial problems
- 23 your Honor identified in the November 19th denial of summary

- 24 judgment. They went back, they tried to prove it up, and there
- 25 is still at least one outstanding discrepancy.

- 1 MR. CHERNOV: Your Honor, clearly that is a clerical
- 2 error. If you look at Mr. Kalbermann's account statement, it
- 3 lists -

Ŋ

- 4 THE COURT: Where is the account statement?
- 5 MR. CHERNOV: The account statement, your Honor, is
- 6 annexed to Mr. Kalbermann's original declaration, his
- 7 declaration of July 8, 2003. Your Honor, I have a copy. If
- 8 you would like, I could hand it up.
- 9 THE COURT: What is shown there?
- MR. CHERNOV: If you look at the last page of the
- 11 account statement, it shows Argentina, Republic of, global
- 12 bond. It shows the same bond with the same interest rate, the
- 13 same maturity date, and it shows that Mr. Kalbermann owns
- 14 \$840,000 worth of that bond or face value amount of that bond.
- 15 The last page of it, page 3 of 5, it is listed on top.
- 16 That is also exactly what Mr. Kalbermann's broker told
- 17 the Court that Mr. Kalbermann owed, and that is annexed as
- 18 Exhibit C to Mr. Kalbermann's most recent declaration, his
- 19 December declaration. If you add together the amounts from the
- 20 confirmation slips, you again get to 840,000. Each of the
- 21 confirmation slips gives us the same interest rate of 12.375
- 22 percent, each gives the same maturity date.

- 23 Your Honor, the republic is not contending they have
- 24 issued any other bonds with this maturity date and with this
- 25 interest rate. Clearly that CUSIP number was a clerical error.
- 1 The proof is the account statement and the letter from the
- 2 broker. The fact that the confirmation slip has an incorrect
- 3 CUSIP number should not be allowed to defeat Mr. Kalbermann's
- 4 motion.

Ì

- 5 MR. BOCCUZZI: What normally governs, your Honor, is a
- 6 confirmation slip. The letter that is annexed as Exhibit C to
- 7 the Kalbermann declaration itself disclaims that it is going to
- 8 govern in the event of a dispute. So, again, the document that
- 9 Mr. Chernov is referring to as Exhibit 1, this is the original
- 10 document that represents a whole bunch of other bonds, and that
- 11 is the original source of the discrepancy identified in the
- 12 November 19th denial of summary judgment. So we are just
- 13 building on evidence that didn't establish what plaintiff says
- 14 it is establishing.
- To get back to the point, at the end of the day for
- 16 that \$100,000 nobody knows on this record what CUSIP number it
- 17 is, and that is the way you track a security. This could be
- 18 cleared up if you got the chain of letters, potentially cleared
- 19 up, because then someone would have to drill down on what exact
- 20 CUSIP number we are talking about.
- Again, CUSIP number is not a mere formality or detail

- 22 or something minor. It goes to the bond that this plaintiff
- 23 says they have a beneficial ownership interest in. That is
- 24 relevant, because at the end of the day that bond will have to
- 25 be written down at the global level if there is a judgment 29
- 1 entered.
- 2 THE COURT: It is really preposterous to have a
- 3 courtroom full of people and two sets of lawyers and a judge
- 4 haggling about these details. Anybody who has been in the law
- 5 practice of the kind that is characteristic of the lawyers
- 6 here, my former law practice, my experience as a judge, we have
- 7 seen actions brought on behalf of holders of securities. We
- 8 have all relied on confirmation slips. There is almost never
- 9 any issue. There are a lot of other issues that you have to
- 10 worry about, fraud or something like that, but the ownership
- Il generally is not a problem.
- 12 I did deny summary judgment in the first instance.
- 13 Really, the idea there was to get the situation clarified. I
- 14 never really doubted that Mr. Kalbermann owned bonds. I didn't
- 15 think that the lawyer was coming in with a balloon full of hot
- 16 air. It was a matter of straightening out the accounting and
- 17 getting things accurate.
- 18 I am not going to spend a lot of time, as we are doing
- 19 now, on the ownership issue. If there is a reasonable set of
- 20 confirmation slips or declarations or whatever, that settles it

- 22 it is settled in lawsuits that deal with these subjects.
- 23 Nobody engages in this kind of exercise, and we are not going
- 24 to have it.

Ġ

- I don't know why there is a difference in CUSIP
 30
- 1 numbers. If, as time goes on, it turns out that there is some
- 2 problem, well, there is a problem. But I do have a set of
- 3 confirmation slips, I have an account statement, I have some
- 4 correspondence. I'm sorry to have to worry about a problem of
- 5 addition. What do you say is the number of bonds he owns?
- 6 MR. CHERNOV: A million 30,000 in total. 840 plus the
- 7 90 plus the 100.
- 8 THE COURT: What is it, 840 plus?
- 9 MR. CHERNOV: 840,000 was one series, plus 100, plus
- 10 90.
- THE COURT: So it is 840 plus 100.
- MR. CHERNOV: Plus 90, your Honor. Which is
- 13 1,030,000, and that is what he sued for in the complaint.
- 14 THE COURT: Again, we have confirmation slips. I am
- 15 looking at Exhibit A, Merrill Lynch, 90,000, 200,000, 540,000.
- 16 Then you have the Prudential for 100,000. That is 930,000.
- 17 Where is the other?
- MR. CHERNOV: It is the next exhibit, your Honor,
- 19 which was the Bank Leu statement.

- 20 THE COURT: I see. That is an additional?
- 21 MR. CHERNOV: That is the additional hundred.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. Motion granted.
- 23 MR. CHERNOV: Thank you, your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Is there any other ownership issue worth
- 25 talking about, or are we just dealing with matters of detail 31
- 1 which should be straightened out between the lawyers?
- 2 MR. CHERNOV: I will be happy to deal with the details
- 3 with Cleary Gottlieb if your Honor would prefer us to proceed
- 4 in that manner.
- 5 MR. BOCCUZZI: Your Honor, I am happy to speak with
- 6 plaintiffs.
- 7 THE COURT: We are not going to take up time in this
- 8 courtroom. what I intend to do is to grant the motions for
- 9 summary judgment that are pending. Any details about ownership
- 10 can be resolved in the course of pretrial. But I don't think
- 11 they will need to be resolved. I think there is sufficient
- 12 evidence.
- 13 The standing issue, I engaged in a little rhetoric
- 14 about having all those procedures followed. Nobody in their
- 15 right mind is going to tax the parties and the Depository Trust
- 16 Company and Merrill Lynch, and so forth, with a lot of useless
- 17 procedures. So I am holding that the beneficial owners have
- 18 standing.